

H. Tim Hoffman, SBN 49141
 Arthur W. Lazear, SBN 83603
 Erin C. Day, SBN 184401
HOFFMAN & LAZEAR
 180 Grand Avenue, Suite 1550
 Oakland, CA 94612
 Telephone: (510) 763-5700

Mark R. Thierman, SBN 72913
 Carrie Freestone, SBN 130914
THIERMAN LAW FIRM
 120 Green Street
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: (415) 391-9200

Randall Crane, SBN 56806
LAW OFFICES OF RANDALL CRANE
 180 Grand Avenue, Suite 1550
 Oakland, CA 94612
 Telephone: (510) 465-4606

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES CARR and JENNIFER)
 BELLINGER, individuals, on behalf of)
 themselves and all others similarly situated,)
 Plaintiffs,)
 v.)
 STARBUCKS CORPORATION and)
 DOES 1 through 100,)
 Defendants.)

CASE NO. 01-2922-VRW
[PROPOSED] ORDER TO
CONSOLIDATE CASE WITH
COMPANION CASE FILED IN
CENTRAL DISTRICT

(CLASS ACTION)

Having reviewed the stipulation between all parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The above-referenced action shall be consolidated with the action entitled *Shields et al. v. Starbucks Corporation*, Case number 01-06446 FMC (MANx), now pending in the United States

1 District Court for the Central District of California, pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil
2 Procedure. The reasons for such consolidation are set forth below:

3 1. A settlement has been reached in these matters, and it would greatly expedite the
4 matters to have the approval of the settlement heard in only one Court. The settlement provides that
5 the motion for approval of the settlement shall be heard in the *Shields* case.

6 2. The above-entitled case, now pending before the Honorable Vaughn R. Walker, and
7 the *Shields* case, currently pending before the Honorable Florence-Marie Cooper, are brought against
8 the same defendants on behalf of the same class of Plaintiffs based on identical causes of action seeking
9 similar damages.

10 3. The factual distinctions between the cases are very limited and in no way conflict so as
11 to make separate trials necessary.

12 4. All procedures will be identical in each case, and there are common questions of law
13 and fact between the cases.

14 5. In the interest of judicial economy and the avoidance of costs and delay these causes
15 should be consolidated.

16
17 Dated: _____

18
19
20 HONORABLE VAUGHN R. WALKER
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28